## **IN THE SPECIFICATION:**

These replacement paragraphs are submitted to clarify the specification. Applicant submits that no new matter is injected into the application by way of the substitute paragraphs.

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 8, line 4, with the following paragraph:

For uni-modal distributions, in the range 0 to 180, the peak direction mean is at an angle  $\bar{\alpha}$  given by

$$\overline{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} f(\alpha_i) \sin 2\alpha_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} f(\alpha_i) \cos 2\alpha_i}$$

while the standard deviation about this mean is given by

$$\sigma(\alpha) = \left[ \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(\alpha_i) \left( 1 - \cos 2(\alpha_i - \overline{\alpha}) \right) \right]^{1/2}$$

To describe the alignment of the fibers, applicants applicant uses a ratio known as the Anisotropy Ratio,  $f_p$  defined as:

$$f_p = 2\langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle - 1$$

$$\langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle = \frac{\int_0^{\pi} \psi(\theta) \cos^2(\theta_{ref} - \theta_i) d\theta}{\int_0^{\pi} \psi(\theta) d\theta}$$

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 9, line 1, with the

following paragraph:

Most suitable, camera 12 is an analog SONY CCD or SONY digital camera;

light source 14 is a high intensity LED white light source (Figs. 1A – 1D); diffuser 16

is a conventional diffuser known to those skilled in the art; beam splitter 18 is a 50/50

beam splitter; mirror 22 is a first surface mirror; and condenser lens 24 is a double

concave lens. Each of the lighting arrangements in Figures 1A - 1D utilize utilizes a

computer C connected to camera 12 and light source 14. Arrangements 1C and 1D

are the preferred methods.

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 9, line 8, with the

following paragraph:

Typical images for various nonwovens (carded polypropylene web;

spunbonded polyester nonwoven; and polyester staple hydroentangled nonwoven;

respectively) are shown in Figures 2, 3 and 4. The sample in Figure 4 is a heavy

nonwoven structure weighing 200 grams per square meter (gsm). The unique

feature of these arrangements is that the fibers in multiple layers appear to be in

focus and can be used for samples weighing as much as 500 gsm depending upon

how densified they are. The ODF can be determined by the present system by

various means. Applicant's fiber orientation algorithms are based on:

Fourier Transform

Hough Transform

- 3 -

**Direct Tracking** 

Ridge Tracking

Flow Filed Analysis

Applicant prefers the Fourier Transform for determining the orientation distribution features of any image regardless of other features. It requires little or no additional steps in deriving the ODF.

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 9, line 8, with the following paragraph:

Their data suggested that CV values are high for areas measuring 4 mm² and that they become insensitive to size beyond cell areas greater than 4 mm². While it is expected that the variation will decrease with increasing window size, it is not clear why all samples would display similar behavior for sizes greater than 4 mm². Applicant also has discovered that image average brightness may not be a valid measure of uniformity in a given cell. That is, it is possible for different images to have similar mean intensity values, but may have different degrees of blotchiness. Further, it is not clear what light source was used, how the intensity of the light source was controlled or how the system was calibrated. First order statistics (light intensity distribution, CV, etc.) are clearly affected by the illumination system used and are not reliable at all unless the system as a whole is calibrated. Additionally, video/frame grabber systems have a fixed spatial resolution and the results can therefore be valid for the spatial resolution of the system discussed. The maximum

area such a known system would cover was said to have been 8.3 cm<sup>2</sup> (8.3 square centimeters). Viewing large areas with a macro lens assemblies can also be

problematic unless appropriate flat lenses are used. Lastly, the non-uniformity

spectra was were not used to derive a generalized index of uniformity that would be

invariant to size, resolution or illumination.

Please replace the equation summary beginning at page 17, line 8, with

the following paragraph:

$$P_x = e^{-\mu} (\frac{\mu^x}{x!})$$

where

 $P_x$  = Probability of [obeserving] observing x individuals

x = An integer counter, ; 0, 1, 2, 3, ...

 $\mu$  = The true mean of the distribution

x! = (x)(x-1)(x-2)...(1) and 0! = 1 by definition

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 20, line 23, with the

following paragraph:

The present invention again is a combined hardware and software solution

that can estimate both fuzzing and pilling and is capable of assessing changes

easily and reliably. This is accomplished by controlling the angle of the incident light

such that only objects raised from the surface are illuminated.